



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,191	10/29/2001	Thomas Kelmartin	MI/207	4141

7590 06/24/2003

Allan M. Wheatcraft, Esquire
W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc.
551 Paper Mill Road
Newark, DE 19714-9206

EXAMINER

RUDDOCK, ULA CORINNA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1771

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2003

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	10/003,191	KELMARTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ula C Ruddock	1771	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ula C Ruddock. (3)_____.

(2) Allan Wheatcraft. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2003 .

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: 1-21 .

Identification of prior art discussed: Griffin (US 6,517,919, Effenberger et al. (US 5,357,726), Sahatjian et al. (US 4,943,473) .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ula Ruddock
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant pointed out the main differences between the Griffin reference and the present invention. Griffin's invention is drawn to a filtration bag that is used for liquids. As a result, the article of Griffin cannot be waterproof. Applicant will consider putting claim 3 into claim 1 to positively recite the limitation of a waterproof article. Applicant also pointed out that the fibers of Effenberger et al. are not expanded as required by the present invention in claims 7 and 16..